## USDC SCAN INDEX SHEET

















BAR 11/22/04 14:59

3:04-CV-02184 USA V. GUESS

\*61\*

\*DECL.\*

- Q

IRELL & MANELLA LLP S. Thomas Pollack (47070) Ian Slotin (224544) 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276 Telephone: (310) 277-1010

04 NOV 19 PFI 3: 20

Telephone: (310) 277-1010 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199

Attorneys for Defendants
Doctors Benefit Insurance Company, Ltd. and
Doctors Benefit Insurance Holdings Ltd.

7

8

9

1

3

4

5

6

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,,

Case No. 04-CV-2184 LAB (AJB)

12 Plaintiff,

DECLARATION OF JOHN R. PATTON

13 vs.

Date: December 3, 2004

L. DONALD GUESS, et al.,

Time: 1:30 p.m.

L. DONALD GUESS, et al.,

Ctrm: Hon. Larry A. Burns

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

14

I, John R. Patton, declare as follows:

Defendants.

- 1. I am an attorney and a partner in the Roberts & Patton Law Firm in Ligonier, Pennsylvania, and am licensed in the State of Texas, a member of the Texas Bar Association, President of McCarran Ferguson Consulting, Inc., a third party administrator of insurance services, and a member of the Executive Committee of the Life and Health Compliance Association.
- 2. I have advised Doctors Benefit Insurance Company, Ltd. (DBIC), a Barbados licensed insurance company, as to its insurance operations since January, 2002 and have performed, and currently perform, third party administrative services for the company and its services providers, including claims and premium administration, and database administration, and through this administration, I prepare records, assist with DBIC's recordkeeping, and am a custodian of records for DBIC, and therefore, have knowledge of the information provided herein,

4

ORIGINAL

F

and have caused various reports to be retrieved from the company's database and other reports to be prepared using both database information and certificateholder file information for purposes of this Declaration. The reports relied upon for this Declaration are, in my belief, a substantially accurate portrayal of the status of each and every current and former participant in DBIC's insurance policies.

- 3. I have reviewed the All Insurances report (attached as Exhibit 1), prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that DBIC has had a grand total of 2345 insurance certificateholders since the inception of its insurance products in 1995, and has received a grand total of \$604,114,209.00 in premium contributions paid on behalf of these insureds.
- 4. I have reviewed the All Insurances report, prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that of the total 2345 insurance certificateholders since the inception of the insurance products in 1995, there are 359 former certificateholder insureds, in one of five (5) categories, and that on behalf of these, DBIC has received a total of \$69,137,078.00 in premium contributions, of which \$449,350.00 was lapsed due to failure to pay premium contributions on behalf of 29 former insureds, resulting in \$68,687,728.00 total premiums attributable to the remaining 330 former insureds.
- 5. I have reviewed the All Insurances report, prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that of the remaining 330 former participants and the premiums attributable to these, 77 of these insurance certificates, with total premium contributions of \$6,285,854.00, were rescinded and a total amount of \$6,434,921.66 was returned to the premium payors.
- 6. I have reviewed the All Insurances report, prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that of the remaining 330 former insureds and the premiums attributable to these, 151 of these insurance certificates, with total premium contributions of \$24,697,646.00, were agreed settlements and a total amount of \$23,983,054.63 was returned to the premium payors.
- 7. I have reviewed the Ali Insurances report, prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that of the remaining 330 former insureds and the premiums

12

13

14

15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

attributable to these, 77 of these insurance certificates, with total premium contributions of \$35,771,457.00, were terminated upon request for the experience adjusted refund benefit, and a total amount of \$34,040,758.98 was returned to the premium payors.

- 8. I have reviewed the All Insurances report, prepared for purposes of this 5 Declaration, and am aware that of the remaining 330 former insureds and the premiums attributable to these, 25 of these insurance certificates, with total premium contributions of \$1,932,771.00, were terminated upon fulfillment of payable claim benefits, and a total amount of \$2,433,405.58 was paid to the claimants.
  - 9. I have reviewed the All Insurances report, prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that of the total 2345 insurance certificateholders since the inception of the insurance products in 1995, there remain 1986 active insurance certificateholders, in one of five (5) categories.
  - 10. I have reviewed the All Insurances report, prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that of the remaining 1986 active insurance certificateholders, 37 are currently approved to receive monthly supplemental disability insurance claim benefits, of which four (4) are still listed in the company database as active, one (1) is currently approved to receive monthly supplemental long term care claim benefits, and DBIC has received for the benefit of these 38 claimants total premium contributions of \$9,812,667.00, and has paid out to these insureds a total of \$3,067,021.21 in claim benefits.
  - 11. I have reviewed the All Insurances report, prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that of the remaining 1986 active insurance certificateholders, there are 1946 not currently receiving monthly claim benefits, but one of these 1946 was at one time on claim and has now returned to active status, and DBIC has received total premium contributions on behalf of this one insured in the amount of \$102,681.00, and has paid to this insured claim benefits in the amount of \$50,000.00.
  - 12. I have reviewed the All Insurances report, prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that of the remaining 1986 active insurance certificateholders, there are 1945 not currently receiving monthly claim benefits, and that never received monthly benefits, but

- 13. I have reviewed the All Insurances report, prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that of the remaining 1986 active insurance certificateholders, there are two (2) that signed and entered into structured settlement agreements and thus not active in the truest sense of the word, and DBIC has received total premium contributions on behalf of these two in the amount of \$1,811,500.00, and has paid to one of these a settlement installment amount of \$75,047.79.
- 14. I have reviewed the All Insurances report, prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that of the remaining 1986 active insurance certificateholders, DBIC has received total premium contributions on behalf of these insureds in the amount of \$534,977,131.00, and has paid to these insureds claim benefits or otherwise a total amout of \$4,269,082.82, resulting in \$530,708,048.18 total remaining premiums attributable to active insureds.
- 15. I have reviewed documents pertaining to various accounts of DBIC and believe the following represents a current accounting of liquid assets:

Vanguard	\$:	507,467,678.41
Bank of Butterfield	\$	16,762,014.55
Wachovia - London	\$	3,210,076.36
First Union – Harrisburg – Claims	\$	63,889.93
First Union - Harrisburg - Money Mkt	\$	63,883.99
Royal Bank - Barbados	\$	401,538.31
Nerine Trust (premium receivable)	\$	135,593.02
Euro-American Trust (premium receivable)	\$	170,010.57
Bond Settlement Trust (GTR trust acct)	\$_	113,519.95

Total Liquid Assets

\$528,388,205.09

- 16. If DBIC were to rescind all insurance in place, utilizing only current Total Liquid Assets shown in No. 15 above, by dividing that amount, \$528,388,205.09, by the total remaining premiums attributable to active insureds from No. 14, \$530,708,048.18, it would essentially be able to return 99.56% of all premium contributions attributable to current insureds, a shortfall of only \$2,319,843.09.
- 17. However, as can be seen from No. 15 above, this total includes only liquid assets. DBIC holds almost \$22,000,000 in Viatical Contracts (\$21,744,690 to be exact according to the Declaration of Steven K. Farrington filed herein). These Viatical contracts are not easy to value. If not held to fruition (when face amount proceeds are paid upon the death of the insured), they can only be sold at a price that willing buyers are willing to pay from time to time. DBIC has previously sold three contracts it owned at an average of 58.5% of the face amount (a \$62,000 face amount investment was sold for \$34,100, or 55%; a \$215,996 face amount investment was sold for \$129,600, or 60%; and a \$400,000 face amount investment was sold for \$233,160, or 58.5%, for a weighted average of 58.5%). Assuming that same return, DBIC could potentially recover \$12,700,000, leaving a substantial surplus after paying off all rescissions.
- 18. Further, based upon additional information provided by Steven K. Farrington (attached as Exhibit 2), I am aware that DBIC has paid to the IRS a total of \$8,092,612.84 in excise taxes and excise tax interest pursuant to its insurance operations. If the company-were forced to rescind all premiums to active certificateholders, I would assume such action would be on order of the court based upon the finding that DBIC is not an insurance company and the insurance policies it has in place are not insurance. Based on those premises, the logical conclusion then would be that DBIC is entitled to a full refund of those excise taxes and interest paid. This refund provides DBIC a substantial cushion against dissipation of current liquid assets to a level where current participants would be unable to realize their entire premium in the event of an order requiring rescission.
- 19. SEI was a vendor to DBIC for several years. It produced monthly statements for the insureds of DBIC. However, the manner in which SEI could present information was limited, so its statements were perceived to give an incomplete view of the insurance product. I believe

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

- 20. On information and belief, it has always been my understanding that SEI maintained sub-accounts for the benefit of DBIC's certificateholders. However, these were not "separate accounts" as that term is generally used in the insurance industry. The Life Office Management Association (LOMA) publishes a glossary of terms generally used and understood within the insurance industry. A separate account is a term used in conjunction with investment contracts and variable annuities in the United States. A separate account is entirely separate and distinct from the general liabilities of the issuer of such a contract. A "segregated account" is the term used in Canada to mean the same thing as separate account in the U.S. Use of such terminology, i.e., "segregated accounts" in marketing materials by xélan years ago, carries no legal import in the United States, and, based on my experience was only intended to reflect that a subaccounting would take place in order to keep track of an insured's potential benefit values. It is my understanding that the use of this terminology was changed prior to 2001 for marketing materials used after that time.
- 21. I have reviewed a Premium Summary workbook report prepared provided to me by Steve Farrington (attached as Exhibit 3), DBIC's accountant, and prepared for purposes of this Declaration and to address certain allegations made by the Government, and their underlying assumptions, such allegations being that of an estimated total taxes due from certificateholders of \$420 million. The first assumption is that the doctors' contributions are not deductible. The second assumption is that each of 4000 doctors paid \$100,000.00 per year for the last three years into one of the xélan programs. This assumption leads to estimated contributions of \$1.2 billion.

The third assumption is that the doctors pay income tax at a 35% marginal rate on this \$1.2 billion to come up with the \$420 million estimate. The actual premium contributions received by DBIC since October, 2000 is \$406,274,834, a period of four (4) years. Of this amount, the premiums received from October of 2000 through January of 2001 were most certainly for the tax year, 2000, and likely the statute of limitations has run on those amounts totaling \$78,809,612. Subtracting that amount from the total premiums of \$406,274,834 leaves a liberal total of \$327,465,222 subject to audit. I call this a liberal total because the processing of premium contributions often continues into spring of the following year for contributions ultimately attributed to the previous year. Subsequent to receiving the workbook from Mr. Farrington, I have caused to be created an additional report, Total Premiums by Premium Year (attached as Exhibit 4), which shows total premiums credited by premium year for the years 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2004 to be a total of \$324,572,028.26. This report also includes the crediting year, 2000, but that crediting year is likely past any statute of limitations for audit purposes except to the extent that some audits are already in place for some participants. Thus, the liberal total subject to audit referenced above is substantially similar to that which this newly created report shows. Also using the liberal 35% marginal tax rate yields only \$114,612,827 as the potential tax liability, so this number is probably an outside maximum if DBIC were to lose on every issue, a worse case scenario.

22. Arising out of a due diligence of the insurance company in January, 2002, my firm entered into a contract with the insurance company to perform certain third party administrative functions, including but not limited to, claims work-up, primary customer service responsibilities, and daily advisory services as to the operations of the company. In conjunction with this agreement, I was informed that certain changes to the policies had recently been initiated based on the advice of various legal counsel to the company. Chief among these changes were the elimination of the death benefit and the elimination of the investment election by participants as to the company's reserves attributable to premiums paid on behalf of an insured. Because the policies are group insurance policies, the insurer and the Group Master Policyholder retain the right to negotiate changes to the policies as long as the changes inure to the benefit of the insureds.

5

6

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

remained dissatisfied. To the best of my recollection, some of these were merely rescinded.

23. Based upon information and belief, no participant was ever advised that it could contribute up to 100% of a doctor's net practice income. In the early marketing materials used by xélan, included as an exhibit to the government's complaint, it was stated that "Participants may contribute amounts to the Trust sufficient to purchase insurance for up to 100% of their average net practice income in recent years, integrated with any existing disability policies." (emphasis added) Based on knowledge obtained in the course of my services to DBIC, it is my belief that at the inception of DBIC's supplemental insurance policy, doctors could purchase primary disability insurance coverage in the range of \$18,000 to \$20,000 per month. Counselors for xélan were placing primary coverage with Royal Maccabees leading up to the disability insurance industry meltdown that occurred in 1994-95. This coverage required 10 years of premiums but contained a refund after that time of up to 80%. Royal Maccabees stopped offering coverage about the same time that DBIC's policies were put in place. Subsequently, counselors offered these doctors substantially similar coverage with Pan American Life Insurance Company. However, over the

21

22

23

24

25

26

ensuing years, the maximum monthly coverage for any one doctor has declined to \$10,000 per month. This maximum is available only to those with a net practice income in excess of \$375,000 annually. A simple calculation of the maximum benefit divided by the required net practice income reveals that a doctor can only cover less than 33% of net practice income. DBIC's insurance was always intended to be supplemental and to provide coverage of only a portion of a doctor's net practice income. The terminology "integrated with any existing disability policies" discussed above, reflected that the company's underwriting included the requirement that a doctor have in place a primary policy. The policy currently reflects that a participant may not contribute more than 40% of net practice income in any one year in order to reflect more clearly the supplemental nature of this insurance. DBIC is offering a layer of coverage to doctors that they are unable to purchase anywhere else and it certainly does not allow a doctor to cover or contribute 100% of net practice income.

- 24. I was asked in 2002 to assist Pan American Life Insurance Company with filing DBIC's supplemental disability insurance policy for approval in the state of Louisiana. My contact at Pan American was San J. Llull. I assisted in preparing certain documents necessary to the approval of the product as requested by the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance. I have attached a copy of the letter from Tangela Ayo (attached as Exhibit 5), the Compliance Examiner in the Insurance Department. Also attached is a copy of the letter I prepared and sent to Pan American for response to the Department (attached as Exhibit 6). Also attached are the three forms prepared and sent to Pan American for use with the letter in response to the Department's request (attached as Exhibit 7). Although I do not have a copy of the final approval of this product, I believe that it was approved by the Louisiana Insurance Department. I have spoken with San Llull at Pan American and he confirms that the product was approved, but no marketing of the product ever occurred. I have also received a fax copy of the final approval Pan American received from Louisiana's Insurance Department (attached as Exhibit 16).
- 25. I have reviewed the Active Disability Claims report (attached as Exhibit 8), prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that DBIC currently has 37 of its certificateholder insureds approved for disability claim benefits, with a total monthly disability

claim benefit of \$222,162.95 due these insureds, the next payment due on the 25th of November, 2004.

- 26. I have reviewed the Active Long Term Care Claims report (attached as Exhibit 9), prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that DBIC currently has one of its certificateholder insureds approved for long term care claim benefits, with a total long term care claim benefit of \$6,691.67 per month, the next payment due this insured on the 25th of November, 2004.
- 27. I have reviewed a Disability Claims Pending Approval report (attached as Exhibit 10), prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that DBIC has seven (7) of its certificateholder insureds pending approval of claims for disability benefits, which, if approved as submitted, would result in total monthly disability benefits of \$67,333.33 due these insured claimants.
- 28. I have reviewed a Medical Savings Claims Pending Approval report (attached as Exhibit 11), prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that DBIC has six (6) of its certificateholder insureds pending approval of claims for medical savings reimbursement benefits, which, if approved as submitted, would result in total medical savings reimbursement benefits of \$28,878.51 due these insured claimants.
- 29. I have reviewed a Disability Claims History report (attached as Exhibit-12), prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that DBIC has paid to 31 of its current and former certificateholder insureds, none of these insureds being currently on claim, total disability claim benefits of \$3,119,762.91.
- 30. I have reviewed a Long Term Care Claims History report (attached as Exhibit 13), prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that DBIC has paid to two (2) of its previous certificateholder insureds total long term care claim benefits of \$98,475.02.
- 31. I have reviewed the Medical Savings Claims History report (attached as Exhibit 14), prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that DBIC has paid to former and current active certificateholder insureds a total of \$1,107,497.23 in medical savings claim benefits.

1	32. I have reviewed the Malpractice Claims History report (attached as Exhibit 15),
2	prepared for purposes of this Declaration, and am aware that DBIC has paid to one current active
3	certificateholder insured a total of \$25,000.00 in supplemental malpractice insurance claim
4	benefits.
5	33. Subsequent to the insurance company's board meeting on July 31, 2004, I was
6	asked to prepare under Dr. Mellon's signature an informational and factual letter to all insureds of
7	DBIC. The purpose of the letter was to refute the misinformation being circulated by Mr.
8	Suverkrubbe and to provide a status of the company in light of xélan, Inc.'s bankruptcy and the
9	decisions arising from the board meeting. This letter was circulated to other attorneys
10	representing DBIC, including Steve Gaines, the company's general counsel and the board of
11	directors for comment and suggested revisions and/or additions. This letter proceeded through
12	numerous iterations over the following few days prior to its final version.
13	
14	Executed on November 18, 2004, at <u>LIGONIER</u> , Pennsylvania.
15	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is
16	true and correct.
17	
18	John R. Patton
19	
20	
21	